Trimethyltriazacyclohexane as bridging ligand for triangular Cu₃ units and C–H hydride abstraction into a Cu₆ cluster†

Randolf D. Köhn,* Zhida Pan, Mary F. Mahon and Gabriele Kociok-Köhn

Department of Chemistry, University of Bath, Bath, UK BA2 7AY. E-mail: r.d.kohn@bath.ac.uk; Fax: 44 1225 386231; Tel: 44 1225 383305

Received (in Cambridge, UK) 11th March 2003, Accepted 3rd April 2003 First published as an Advance Article on the web 2nd May 2003

Reaction of $\left[\text{Cu}(MeCN)_4\right](BF_4)$ **with** *N,N',N''***-trimethyl-**1,3,5-triazacyclohexane in CH_2Cl_2 leads to two Cu_6 clusters **containing the triazacyclohexane as a ligand to triangular Cu3 units with the abstraction and incorporation of chloride and hydride.**

A recent study of the reactivity of trimethyltriazacyclohexane L with CuCl₂ led to the isolation of a $\text{[CuCl}_2\text{]}_2$ ² anion with an unprecedented cuprophilic interaction and concomitant oxidation of L to the amidinium cation $[L-H]^{+1}$. We have previously reported the synthesis of phosphine stabilised triazacyclohexane Cu(I) complexes from $[Cu(MeCN)₄]$ ⁺.² However, studies with the methyl analogue L in the absence of $PPh₃$ are rendered more difficult due to the low solubility in suitable solvents. In acetonitrile, no ligand substitution of L with [Cu- $(MeCN)₄$ (BF₄) was observed by NMR. However, reaction does occur in $CH₂Cl₂$ and highly air-sensitive crystals were obtained in several different experiments. Here we report the unusual structures of two copper containing products, **A** and **B**, shown in Figs. 1 and 2.

In both cases, we suspect that chloride must have been abstracted from the solvent with concomitant oxidation of L to the amidinium cation [L–H]+. A similar oxidation of a triazacyclohexane by CH_2Cl_2 in the presence of a Lewis-acidic metal cation (Zn) has been observed before.3 The crystals cannot be dissolved without decomposition so that no NMR spectra could be obtained. However, removal of all volatiles and extraction with d_3 -MeCN gives a clean NMR spectrum of amidinium cation.4 Both compounds form nearly colourless crystals, a good indication that they contain copper complexes in the $+I$ oxidation state. Four chlorides and two BF_4 anions for six copper atoms ensure electroneutrality in **A**. Initially, the

Fig. 1 Structure of the *Ci* symmetrical cation in **A** with ellipsoids at the 30% probability level. Unlabeled atoms are carbon. Selected bond distances (Å): Cu1–Cu2 2.714(3); Cu1–Cu3 2.547(4); Cu1–N1 2.009(13); Cu2–N2 2.075(14); Cu1–N4 2.014(13); Cu1–Cl1 2.318(6); Cu2–Cl1 2.493(7). Cu2– Cu2A 2.866(6); Cu2A–Cl1 2.441(5) (Symmetry transformation: $-x+1$, $-y+1, -z+1)$ ‡ probability level. Unlabeled atoms are carbon. Selected bond distances (A):
 $\begin{array}{rcl}\n & \text{Cu1-Cu2} & 2.714(3); \text{Cu1-Cu3} & 2.547(4); \text{Cu1-N1} & 2.009(13); \text{Cu2-N2} \\
& 2.075(14); \text{Cu1-N4} & 2.014(13); \text{Cu1-Cl1} & 2.318(6); \text{Cu2-Cl1} & 2.493($

† Electronic supplementary information (ESI) available: experimental details. See http://www.rsc.org/suppdata/cc/b3/b302670a/

structure solution for **B** revealed only four chlorides and one BF₄ anion for six copper atoms. The highest remaining electron density was found in the centre of a \tilde{Cu} octahedron and could be refined as a hydrogen atom. This hydride can originate from hydride abstraction from one of the coordinated L in **A** resulting in another $[L-H](BF₄)$. The formation of the products can be rationalised according to Scheme 1.

Both structures show for the first time that triazacyclohexanes can coordinate triangular trimetal clusters without the aid of pendant arm donor groups. The only known triangular $Cu₃$ complexes of triazacyclohexanes are shown in Fig. 3 and contain N, O or S donor groups.5,6 The Cu–N bond distances in **A** (av. 2.03 Å) and **B** (av. 2.11 Å) are smaller than in κ^3 complexes of Cu(I) (av. 2.22 Å)² where a severe bent-bonding situation results in weakened bonding. Thus, κ^1 coordination of three metals may be favoured over κ^3 coordination of only one metal especially when the resulting close metal–metal contacts are not too repulsive or even attractive. The Cu–Cu distances within the triangular units are very similar in both complexes $(2.55-2.71, \text{ av. } 2.67 \text{ Å})$ and similar to the first example in Fig. 3. The μ^2 -Cl-bridged Cu–Cu distances between triangular units are 2.87 Å in **A** and av. 2.48 Å in **B**. These distances are at the short end of the range of closed-shell (cuprophilic) interactions.1,7 In **A**, a second type of triazacyclohexane is coordinating a different triangular unit made of two copper atoms and one hydrogen atom from a ring methylene group of the other triazacyclohexane *via* a C–H…N hydrogen bridge $(H \cdots N \approx 2.5 \text{ Å})$.

The Cu–Cl bonds in **B** (av. 2.38 Å for μ^2 -Cl and av. 2.57 Å for μ^3 -Cl) are longer than in **A** (av. 2.27 Å for μ^2 -Cl and av. 2.42 Å for μ ³-Cl). Apart from Cu₂ in **A** all copper atoms in both complexes have coordination numbers of three with respect to

Fig. 2 Structure of the C_s symmetrical cation in **B** with ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Unlabeled atoms are carbon. Selected bond distances (Å): Cu1–Cu2 2.7049(8); Cu1–Cu3 2.4566(8); Cu2–Cu4 2.6734(9); Cu1–N1 2.048(4); Cu2–N2 2.120(4); Cu1–Cl1 2.4014(14); Cu2–Cl2 2.5087(14); Cu1–H 1.84(7). Cu1–Cu1A 2.5103(11); Cu2–Cu2a 2.5713(11) (Symmetry transformation: x , $-y+1/2$, z) \ddagger

Scheme 1 Reactions leading to **A** and **B**.

Fig. 3 Crystallographically characterised triangular trimetal triazacyclohexane complexes.^{5,6}

N and Cl ligands. Thus the larger bond distances in **B** compared to **A** are another indication that the copper atoms are coordinated to another ligand in the centre of the octahedron, the hydride described earlier. All copper atoms have similar distances to the centre/hydride of 1.85(3) Å.

The really astonishing result from these two structures is the transformation of **A** into **B**, assuming **A** is an intermediate. To enable this reaction, one of the four triazacyclohexanes in **A** loses a hydride from a ring methylene group and is eliminated as $[L-H](BF_4)$. The two open Cu_3 triangular units in **A** sandwich this hydride into the centre of an octahedral copper cluster. Thus, the unusual C–H hydride abstraction may well be the result of the cooperative action of two triangular $Cu₃$ units and consequently may provide a molecular model for C–H activation on a metal surface.

The best known copper hydride is ${HCu[P(NMe₂)₃]}₆$.⁸ This contains also a $Cu₆$ octahedron but the six hydrides are placed above six faces of the octahedron. The Cu–H bonds are slightly shorter (av. 1.71 Å) and the Cu–Cu contacts the same (av. 2.66 Å) as in **B**. Some other copper hydrides are known but none with an interstitial hydride. These copper hydrides are useful reducing agents in organic synthesis⁹ and we are currently searching for more soluble analogues of **B** to test their reactivity. Octahedral clusters of other metals with interstitial hydrides are rare but not unprecedented.10 However, **B** is the first copper cluster of its type and also the first observation of the hydride originating from C–H abstraction.

Although the sensitivity and low solubility of **A** and **B** have so far precluded solution studies and the bulk isolation of the pure compounds, the structural characterisation has shown the potential for triazacyclohexanes as unprecedented ligands for triangular metal clusters and subsequent substrate activation *via* the cooperative action of the cluster atoms. We are currently searching for more suitable triazacyclohexanes to pursue this chemistry.

This research was supported by the EPSRC.

Notes and references

 \ddagger *Crystallographic data*: for **A**: C₂₆H₆₄B₂Cl₈Cu₆F₈N₁₂, *M* = 1383.35, colourless plate $0.15 \times 0.10 \times 0.08$ mm³, monoclinic, space group $P2_1/n$, $a = 15.2550(12)$, $b = 8.3930(8)$, $c = 19.7430(19)$ Å, $\beta = 92.893(3)$ °, *V* $= 2524.6(4)$, $Z = 2$, $D_c = 1.820$ Mg m³, μ (MoK α) = 2.971 mm⁻¹, $T =$ 150 K, 7518 reflections collected, 4191 unique, (*R*int = 0.148), final residuals $R_I(I > 2\sigma(I)) = 0.1071$, $wR_2 = 0.2557$, $GOF = 1.023$, max/min residual electron density 0.726/-1.134 eÅ⁻³, χ = 0.011(2) for secondary extinction. CCDC 205862. For **B**: C₂₀H₅₀BCl₈Cu₆F₄N₉, $M = 1168.34$, colourless plate $0.33 \times 0.18 \times 0.05$ mm, orthorhombic, space group *Pnma*, $a = 14.9910(3)$, $b = 13.4050(4)$, $c = 20.3130(5)$ Å, $V = 4081.99(18)$, *Z* $= 4, D_c = 1.901$ Mg m³, μ (MoK α) = 3.641 mm⁻¹, *T* = 150 K, 49424 reflections collected, 4830 unique, (*R*int = 0.129), final residuals $R_1(I>2\sigma(I)) = 0.0485$, $wR_2 = 0.1198$, $GOF = 1.042$, max/min residual electron density $0.95/-1.203$ eÅ^{-3}. CCDC 205861. Data were collected on a Nonius Kappa CCD diffractometer. Structure solution and refinement was achieved using the WinGX–1.64 package.11 See http://www.rsc.org/ suppdata/cc/b3/b302670a/ for crystallographic data in .cif or other electronic format.

- 1 R. D. Köhn, G. Seifert, Z. Pan, M. F. Mahon and G. Kociok-Köhn, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 2003, **42**, 793.
- 2 R. D. Köhn, G. Seifert and G. Kociok-Köhn, *Chem. Ber.*, 1996, **129**, 1327.
- 3 M. Haufe, R. D. Köhn, R. Weimann, G. Seifert and D. Zeigan, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 1996, **520**, 121.
- ¹H NMR in d₃-MeCN (300 MHz): 8.1s (1H, ring-CH), 4.3s (4H, ring-CH2), 3.2s (6H, Me), 2.55s (3H, Me).
- 5 M. P. Suh, M. Y. Han, J. H. Lee, K. S. Min and C. Hyeon, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1998, **120**, 3819 and derivatives: M. Y. Han, K. S. Min and M. P. Suh, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1999, **38**, 4374.
- 6 G. Kickelbick, D. Rutzinger and T. Gallauner, *Monatsh. Chem.*, 2002, **133**, 1157.
- 7 Selected reviews: P. Pyykkö, *Chem. Rev.*, 1997, **97**, 597; M. Jansen, *Angew. Chem., Int. Ed.*, 1987, **26**, 1098 related clusters: H. Schmidbaur, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1995, **24**, 39; D. Imhof and L. M. Venanzi, *Chem. Soc. Rev.*, 1994, 185.
- 8 T. H. Lemmen, K. Folting, J. C. Huffman and K. G. Caulton, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1985, **107**, 7774.
- 9 P. Chiu, Z. Li and K. C. M. Fung, *Tetrahedron Lett.*, 2003, **44**, 455and references therein.
- 10 **Cr**: S. Kamiguchi, T. Saito and Z. Honda, *J. Organomet. Chem.*, 2000, **609**, 184 **Co**: D. W. Hart, R. G. Taylor, C.-Y. Wei, R. Bau, G. Longoni, S. Campanella, P. Chini and T. F. Koetzle, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1981, **103**, 1458**Zr**: J. Zhang, R. P. Ziebarth and J. D. Corbett, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1992, **31**, 614; P. J. Chu, R. P. Ziebarth, J. D. Corbett and B. C. Gerstein, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 1988, **110**, 5324 **Nb**: H. Imoto and J. D. Corbett, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1980, **19**, 1241; H.-J. Meyer and J. D. Corbett, *Inorg. Chem.*, 1991, **30**, 963**Ru**: P. F. Jackson, B. F. G. Johnson, J. Lewis, P. R. Raithby, M. McPartlin, W. J. H. Nelson, K. D. Rouse, J. Allibon and S. A. Mason, *J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun.*, 1980, 295.
- 11 WinGX-1.64: L. J. Farrugia, *J. Appl. Cryst.*, 1999, **32**, 837.